

Sept. 17-

- 1) In favor of successful negotiations, earliest possible signing & entry in force of agreement
- 2) We have approached decisive time - Time to take final decision.
- 3) There remain but a few substantial questions.
- 4) Concentrate on these questions - the issues can then be covered sufficiently quickly.
- 5) U.S. attached especial interest to new types issue - & to new missile
USSR proposed several variants - that met principals of equality & equal security
- 6) USSR despite fact we accept none of the alternatives -
- repeated question put at Geneva -
- 7) U.S. has not given a sufficient response - so far

8) Clarifications re cruise missiles ^{June} did not move forward - This has perplexed Soviets.

9) Tranquilizing statements are made

10) What USSR is counting on is that U.S. will make clear its intention to resolve the remaining issues at this meeting -

11) Confirm ^{agreement in principle} that until 1985 -

That each would have right to test & deploy one new type missile or non missile ICBM - no limitations on SLBM's.

This acceptance is conditional on finding mutually accepted solutions on basis of Soviet proposal on:

Cruise missiles or bombers

Time limits for arms reductions

Backfire

12) On other questions Soviet made proposals

13) If U.S. does not deploy

Similar readiness to resolve 3
issues - then Soviet proposal
is not needed.

14). Believe that only bombers
can be used for cruise missiles

15). Limitation of long range
c.m.'s on any bomber for duration
of treaty to 20 per bomber

16). U.S. proposal on range of
c.m.'s is designed to subvert
agreement ^{re} reached already

We have agreed on 2500
+ 600 km - but now under
guise of ~~technical~~ ^{technical} definition of range of
c.m.'s we have doubled range.

There can be no revision
of agreement already reached.

17). As regards tech. defⁿ of range
can only ~~be~~ determined
~~properly~~ be odometer distance.

18) All written nuclear or
non nuclear should come under

(4)

Treaty

19) Finally, treaty must have
ban on CM's, equipped with MIRV's.
W.o. such a ban - each such
missile would have to be counted
in 1320 aggregate.

20) Proposal to tie protocol
into dismantling is
artificial - serves no purpose.

21) Protocol - should start with
treaty + last for 3 yrs.

22) Time for reduction would
be carried out over 12 mos -

ⁱⁿ ~~not later~~ study from Dec. 30, 1980. on underlying
Plan ^{had} treaty - would start on Jan 1, 1979.
31, 1979. 23) Backfire

Can be solved not only lower
of text already supplied.

24) Fractionation -

on existing ICBM's - ~~to~~ small

will agree on mod^u - There will be no ~~agreed~~ increase - frozen at max number tested.

Contingent on agree[±] to limit 20 per bomber ⁺ on definition of new types of ICBM's.

Definitions ^{do} coincide to a considerable extent - already agreed excludes - delegates could make definitions more precise. In absence of definition - pract^l limitation

~~but~~ ~~was~~ could not be agreed to - 25). Agree[±] to 14 on existing types -

26). On new types^{light ICBM's} - limited to 6 + 14 on ¹SLBM's